# **George Sargeant Elementary School** 2021-2022 School Accountability Report Card (Published During the 2022-2023 School Year)

# General Information about the School Accountability Report Card (SARC)



### 2022-23 School Contact Information

School Name	George Sargeant Elementary School	
Street	1200 Ridgecrest Way	
City, State, Zip	Roseville	
Phone Number	9167711800	
Principal	Krista Barker	
Email Address	kbarker@rcsdk8.org	
School Website	www.rcsdk8.org	
County-District-School (CDS) Code	31 66910 6106108	

2022-23 District Contact Information		
District Name	Roseville City School District	
Phone Number	(916) 771-1600	
Superintendent	Derk Garcia	
Email Address		
District Website Address	www.rcsdk8.org	

### 2022-23 School Overview

Sargeant Elementary School's mission is to maximize opportunities for success so every child can strive to be a compassionate, caring, balanced student who will become globally-minded and inspired to be a life-long learner. Sargeant is committed to:

- \* Providing inquiry-based instruction through academic rigor.
- \* Encouraging global awareness through learning opportunities by being involved in their community and world.
- \* Collaborating with staff to provide a supportive environment that embraces all strengths and learning challenges.

Sargeant Elementary School was opened in the fall of 1986 and is located in the city of Roseville. They currently serve students in Transitional Kindergarten (TK) through fifth grade. In 2010, Sargeant Elementary received the California Distinguished School Award for academic achievement. In 2020, Sargeant became a World Authorized International Baccalaureate (IB) Primary Years Program. In 2022, Sargeant was recognized with a Silver PBIS Implementation Award.

Sargeant offers opportunities for students to engage in school and participate in community events. The student council organizes events to promote community involvement and giving back. Throughout the year they organize a canned food drive to give back to families in need in the local community, CHIPS for kids to provide toys for kids in need in Placer County, and Pennies for Patients to raise money for the Leukemia and Lymphoma Society.

Finally, Sargeant Elementary offers programs that fit the needs of a diverse population such as Math Olympiad (MOEMS), Gifted and Talented Education (GATE clusters), Response to Intervention (RTI) for varying reading levels, English Language Learner (ELL) support, and Special Day Class (SDC) which services students with special needs.

# **About this School**

2021-22 Student Enrollment by Grade Level			
Grade Level	Number of Students		
Kindergarten	88		
Grade 1	57		
Grade 2	69		
Grade 3	72		
Grade 4	80		
Grade 5	65		
Total Enrollment	431		

# 2021-22 Student Enrollment by Student Group

Student Group	Percent of Total Enrollment
Female	43.4
Male	56.6
American Indian or Alaska Native	0.5
Asian	2.1
Black or African American	2.3
Filipino	0.0
Hispanic or Latino	33.9
Native Hawaiian or Pacific Islander	0.0
Two or More Races	6.0
White	54.3
English Learners	12.3
Foster Youth	0.7
Homeless	2.3
Migrant	0.0
Socioeconomically Disadvantaged	32.7
Students with Disabilities	10.7

# A. Conditions of Learning State Priority: Basic

The SARC provides the following information relevant to the State priority: Basic (Priority 1):

- Degree to which teachers are appropriately assigned and fully credentialed in the subject area and for the pupils they are teaching;
- Pupils have access to standards-aligned instructional materials; and
- School facilities are maintained in good repair

2020-21 Teacher Preparation and Placement						
Authorization/Assignment	School Number	School Percent	District Number	District Percent	State Number	State Percent
Fully (Preliminary or Clear) Credentialed for Subject and Student Placement (properly assigned)	19.80	100.00	476.80	94.09	228366.10	83.12
Intern Credential Holders Properly Assigned	0.00	0.00	2.00	0.39	4205.90	1.53
Teachers Without Credentials and Misassignments ("ineffective" under ESSA)	0.00	0.00	5.40	1.07	11216.70	4.08
Credentialed Teachers Assigned Out-of- Field ("out-of-field" under ESSA)	0.00	0.00	11.50	2.27	12115.80	4.41
Unknown	0.00	0.00	11.00	2.18	18854.30	6.86
Total Teaching Positions	19.80	100.00	506.80	100.00	274759.10	100.00

Note: The data in this table is based on Full Time Equivalent (FTE) status. One FTE equals one staff member working full time; one FTE could also represent two staff members who each work 50 percent of full time. Additionally, an assignment is defined as a position that an educator is assigned based on setting, subject, and grade level. An authorization is defined as the services that an educator is authorized to provide to students.

2021-22 Teacher Preparation and Placement						
Authorization/Assignment	School Number	School Percent	District Number	District Percent	State Number	State Percent
Fully (Preliminary or Clear) Credentialed for Subject and Student Placement (properly assigned)	22.30	98.54	517.10	93.46	234405.20	84.00
Intern Credential Holders Properly Assigned	0.00	0.00	1.00	0.18	4853.00	1.74
Teachers Without Credentials and Misassignments ("ineffective" under ESSA)	0.00	0.00	4.30	0.78	12001.50	4.30
Credentialed Teachers Assigned Out-of- Field ("out-of-field" under ESSA)	0.00	0.00	16.30	2.96	11953.10	4.28
Unknown	0.30	1.46	14.40	2.61	15831.90	5.67
Total Teaching Positions	22.60	100.00	553.30	100.00	279044.80	100.00

Note: The data in this table is based on Full-Time Equivalent (FTE) status. One FTE equals one staff member working full time; one FTE could also represent two staff members who each work 50 percent of full time. Additionally, an assignment is defined as a position that an educator is assigned based on setting, subject, and grade level. An authorization is defined as the services that an educator is authorized to provide to students.

### Teachers Without Credentials and Misassignments (considered "ineffective" under ESSA)

Authorization/Assignment	2020-21	2021-22
Permits and Waivers	0.00	0.00
Misassignments	0.00	0.00
Vacant Positions	0.00	0.00
Total Teachers Without Credentials and Misassignments	0.00	0.00

## Credentialed Teachers Assigned Out-of-Field (considered "out-of-field" under ESSA)

Indicator	2020-21	2021-22
Credentialed Teachers Authorized on a Permit or Waiver	0.00	0.00
Local Assignment Options	0.00	0.00
Total Out-of-Field Teachers	0.00	0.00

2021-22 Class Assignments				
Indicator	2020-21	2021-22		
<b>Misassignments for English Learners</b> (a percentage of all the classes with English learners taught by teachers that are misassigned)	0.00	0.00		
No credential, permit or authorization to teach (a percentage of all the classes taught by teachers with no record of an authorization to teach)0.000.00				
Note: For more information refer to the Updated Teacher Equity Definitions web page at <a href="https://www.cde.ca.gov/pd/ee/teacherequitydefinitions.asp">https://www.cde.ca.gov/pd/ee/teacherequitydefinitions.asp</a> .				

# 2022-23 Quality, Currency, Availability of Textbooks and Other Instructional Materials

Roseville City Elementary held a public hearing on September 1, 2022, and determined that each school within the district had sufficient and good quality textbooks, instructional materials, or science lab equipment pursuant to the settlement of Williams vs. the State of California. All students, including English learners, are given their own individual standards-aligned textbooks or instructional materials, or both, in core subjects for use in the classroom and to take home. Textbooks and supplementary materials are adopted according to a cycle developed by the California Department of Education, making the textbooks used in the school the most current available. Materials approved for use by the State are reviewed by all teachers and a recommendation is made to the School Board by a selection committee composed of teachers and administrators. All recommended materials are available for parent examination at the district office prior to adoption.

#### Year and month in which the data were collected

August 2022

Subject	Textbooks and Other Instructional Materials/year of Adoption	From Most Recent Adoption ?	Percent Students Lacking Own Assigned Copy
---------	---	---	--

Reading/Language Arts	Benchmark 2016	Yes	0
Mathematics	Houghton Mifflin - Expressions 2015	Yes	0
Science	Discovery Education 2020	Yes	0
History-Social Science	Pearson Scott Foresman 2007	Yes	0

#### School Facility Conditions and Planned Improvements

#### General

The District takes great efforts to ensure that all schools are clean, safe, and functional. To assist in this effort, the District uses a facility survey instrument developed by the State of California Office of Public School Construction. The results of this survey are available at the Maintenance Office.

#### Maintenance and Repairs:

District maintenance staff ensures that the repairs necessary to keep the school in good repair and working order are completed in a timely manner. A work order process is used to ensure efficient service and that emergency repairs are given the highest priority. The District annually inspects wheelchair lifts, tests fire extinguishers, inspects and services all playground backboards, replaces bark on playgrounds and landscaping, and services HVAC units.

#### School Facility Repair Status:

### Overall Summary of School Facilities Repair Status – Exemplary

The inspection included a check of possible gas leaks, mechanical systems, interior and exterior doors and windows, interior surface areas, structural damage, electrical, playground equipment, and hazardous materials. In all areas this school passed inspection.

#### Cleaning Process and Schedule:

The District has adopted cleaning standards for all schools in the District. The principal works daily with the custodial staff to develop cleaning schedules to ensure a clean and safe school.

#### Deferred Maintenance Budget:

The District participates in the State School Deferred Maintenance Program, which provides state matching funds on a dollarfor-dollar basis, to assist school districts with expenditures for major repairs or replacement of existing school building components. Typically, this includes roofing, plumbing, heating, air conditioning, electrical systems, interior or exterior painting, and floor systems. Since 2008-2009 the State has suspended the program due to State Budget Reductions. The District still maintains its own program.

#### Year and month of the most recent FIT report

12/2022

System Inspected	Rate Good	Rate Poor	Repair Needed and Action Taken or Planned
<b>Systems:</b> Gas Leaks, Mechanical/HVAC, Sewer	Х		
Interior: Interior Surfaces	Х		Needs new office carpet
Cleanliness: Overall Cleanliness, Pest/Vermin Infestation	Х		
Electrical	Х		
<b>Restrooms/Fountains:</b> Restrooms, Sinks/ Fountains	Х		
<b>Safety:</b> Fire Safety, Hazardous Materials	Х		
Structural: Structural Damage, Roofs	Х		
External:	Х		Concrete raising in multiple locations, asphalt crack needs to be filled

School Facility Conditions and Planned Improvements							
Playground/School Grounds, Windows/ Doors/Gates/Fences							
Overall Facility Rate							
Exemplary	Good	Fair	Poor				
X							

# **B. Pupil Outcomes**

# State Priority: Pupil Achievement

The SARC provides the following information relevant to the State priority: Pupil Achievement (Priority 4):

#### **Statewide Assessments**

(i.e., California Assessment of Student Performance and Progress [CAASPP] System includes the Smarter Balanced Summative Assessments for students in the general education population and the California Alternate Assessments [CAAs] for English language arts/literacy [ELA] and mathematics given in grades three through eight and grade eleven. Only eligible students may participate in the administration of the CAAs. CAAs items are aligned with alternate achievement standards, which are linked with the Common Core State Standards [CCSS] for students with the most significant cognitive disabilities).

The CAASPP System encompasses the following assessments and student participation requirements:

- 1. Smarter Balanced Summative Assessments and CAAs for ELA in grades three through eight and grade eleven.
- 2. Smarter Balanced Summative Assessments and CAAs for mathematics in grades three through eight and grade eleven.
- 3. California Science Test (CAST) and CAAs for Science in grades five, eight, and once in high school (i.e., grade ten, eleven, or twelve).
- 4. College and Career Ready

The percentage of students who have successfully completed courses that satisfy the requirements for entrance to the University of California and the California State University, or career technical education sequences or programs of study.

#### SARC Reporting in the 2020-2021 School Year Only

Where the most viable option, LEAs were required to administer the statewide summative assessment in ELA and mathematics. Where a statewide summative assessment was not the most viable option for the LEA (or for one or more grade-level[s] within the LEA) due to the pandemic, LEAs were allowed to report results from a different assessment that met the criteria established by the State Board of Education (SBE) on March 16, 2021. The assessments were required to be:

- Aligned with CA CCSS for ELA and mathematics;
- Available to students in grades 3 through 8, and grade 11; and
- Uniformly administered across a grade, grade span, school, or district to all eligible students.

#### Options

Note that the CAAs could only be administered in-person following health and safety requirements. If it was not viable for the LEA to administer the CAAs in person with health and safety guidelines in place, the LEA was directed to not administer the tests. There were no other assessment options available for the CAAs. Schools administered the Smarter Balanced Summative Assessments for ELA and mathematics, other assessments that meet the SBE criteria, or a combination of both, and they could only choose one of the following:

- Smarter Balanced ELA and mathematics summative assessments;
- Other assessments meeting the SBE criteria; or
- Combination of Smarter Balanced ELA and mathematics summative assessments and other assessments.

The percentage of students who have successfully completed courses that satisfy the requirements for entrance to the University of California and the California State University, or career technical education sequences or programs of study.

### Percentage of Students Meeting or Exceeding the State Standard on CAASPP

This table displays CAASPP test results in ELA and mathematics for all students grades three through eight and grade eleven taking and completing a state-administered assessment.

The 2020-21 data cells have N/A values because these data are not comparable to other year data due to the COVID-19 pandemic during the 2020-21 school year. Where the CAASPP assessments in ELA and/or mathematics is not the most viable option, the LEAs were allowed to administer local assessments. Therefore, the 2020-21 data between school years for the school, district, state are not an accurate comparison. As such, it is inappropriate to compare results of the 2020-21 school year to other school years.

Percentages are not calculated when the number of students tested is ten or less, either because the number of students in this category is too small for statistical accuracy or to protect student privacy.

ELA and mathematics test results include the Smarter Balanced Summative Assessment and the CAA. The "Percent Met or Exceeded" is calculated by taking the total number of students who met or exceeded the standard on the Smarter Balanced Summative Assessment plus the total number of students who met the standard (i.e., achieved Level 3-Alternate) on the CAAs divided by the total number of students who participated in both assessments.

Subject	School 2020-21	School 2021-22	District 2020-21	District 2021-22	State 2020-21	State 2021-22
English Language Arts/Literacy (grades 3-8 and 11)	N/A	45	N/A	60	N/A	47
Mathematics (grades 3-8 and 11)	N/A	39	N/A	50	N/A	33

## 2021-22 CAASPP Test Results in ELA by Student Group

This table displays CAASPP test results in ELA by student group for students grades three through eight and grade eleven taking and completing a state-administered assessment.

ELA test results include the Smarter Balanced Summative Assessment and the CAA. The "Percent Met or Exceeded" is calculated by taking the total number of students who met or exceeded the standard on the Smarter Balanced Summative Assessment plus the total number of students who met the standard (i.e., achieved Level 3-Alternate) on the CAAs divided by the total number of students who participated in both assessments.

Double dashes (--) appear in the table when the number of students is ten or less, either because the number of students in this category is too small for statistical accuracy or to protect student privacy.

The number of students tested includes all students who participated in the test whether they received a score or not; however, the number of students tested is not the number that was used to calculate the achievement level percentages. The achievement level percentages are calculated using only students who received scores.

CAASPP Student Groups	CAASPP Total Enrollment	CAASPP Number Tested	CAASPP Percent Tested	CAASPP Percent Not Tested	CAASPP Percent Met or Exceeded
All Students	219	215	98.17	1.83	45.79
Female	91	90	98.90	1.10	48.89
Male	128	125	97.66	2.34	43.55
American Indian or Alaska Native					
Asian					
Black or African American					
Filipino	0	0	0.00	0.00	0.00
Hispanic or Latino	72	70	97.22	2.78	32.86
Native Hawaiian or Pacific Islander	0	0	0.00	0.00	0.00
Two or More Races	13	13	100.00	0.00	23.08
White	123	121	98.37	1.63	57.50
English Learners	23	21	91.30	8.70	14.29
Foster Youth					
Homeless					
Military					
Socioeconomically Disadvantaged	76	74	97.37	2.63	32.43
Students Receiving Migrant Education Services	0	0	0.00	0.00	0.00
Students with Disabilities	37	37	100.00	0.00	19.44

## 2021-22 CAASPP Test Results in Math by Student Group

This table displays CAASPP test results in Math by student group for students grades three through eight and grade eleven taking and completing a state-administered assessment.

Mathematics test results include the Smarter Balanced Summative Assessment and the CAA. The "Percent Met or Exceeded" is calculated by taking the total number of students who met or exceeded the standard on the Smarter Balanced Summative Assessment plus the total number of students who met the standard (i.e., achieved Level 3-Alternate) on the CAAs divided by the total number of students who met the standard standard (i.e., achieved Level 3-Alternate) on the CAAs divided by the total number of students who participated in both assessments.

Double dashes (--) appear in the table when the number of students is ten or less, either because the number of students in this category is too small for statistical accuracy or to protect student privacy.

The number of students tested includes all students who participated in the test whether they received a score or not; however, the number of students tested is not the number that was used to calculate the achievement level percentages. The achievement level percentages are calculated using only students who received scores.

CAASPP Student Groups	CAASPP Total Enrollment	CAASPP Number Tested	CAASPP Percent Tested	CAASPP Percent Not Tested	CAASPP Percent Met or Exceeded
All Students	219	214	97.72	2.28	39.25
Female	91	90	98.90	1.10	33.33
Male	128	124	96.88	3.12	43.55
American Indian or Alaska Native					
Asian					
Black or African American					
Filipino	0	0	0.00	0.00	0.00
Hispanic or Latino	72	70	97.22	2.78	30.00
Native Hawaiian or Pacific Islander	0	0	0.00	0.00	0.00
Two or More Races	13	13	100.00	0.00	30.77
White	123	120	97.56	2.44	45.83
English Learners	23	22	95.65	4.35	9.09
Foster Youth					
Homeless					
Military					
Socioeconomically Disadvantaged	76	74	97.37	2.63	22.97
Students Receiving Migrant Education Services	0	0	0.00	0.00	0.00
Students with Disabilities	37	36	97.30	2.70	8.33

# CAASPP Test Results in Science for All Students

This table displays the percentage of all students grades five, eight, and High School meeting or exceeding the State Standard.

For any 2020-21 data cells with N/T values indicate that this school did not test students using the CAASPP for Science.

Subject	School	School	District	District	State	State
	2020-21	2021-22	2020-21	2021-22	2020-21	2021-22
Science (grades 5, 8 and high school)	NT	35.94	NT	45.53	28.5	29.47

## 2021-22 CAASPP Test Results in Science by Student Group

This table displays CAASPP test results in Science by student group for students grades five, eight, and High School. Double dashes (--) appear in the table when the number of students is ten or less, either because the number of students in this category is too small for statistical accuracy or to protect student privacy.

Student Group	Total Enrollment	Number Tested	Percent Tested	Percent Not Tested	Percent Met or Exceeded
All Students	65	64	98.46	1.54	35.94
Female	20	20	100	0	30
Male	45	44	97.78	2.22	38.64
American Indian or Alaska Native					
Asian					
Black or African American					
Filipino	0	0	0	0	0
Hispanic or Latino	25	24	96	4	20.83
Native Hawaiian or Pacific Islander	0	0	0	0	0
Two or More Races					
White	31	31	100	0	54.84
English Learners					
Foster Youth	0	0	0	0	0
Homeless	0	0	0	0	0
Military					
Socioeconomically Disadvantaged	27	26	96.3	3.7	19.23
Students Receiving Migrant Education Services	0	0	0	0	0
Students with Disabilities	13	13	100	0	15.38

**B. Pupil Outcomes** 

# **State Priority: Other Pupil Outcomes**

The SARC provides the following information relevant to the State priority: Other Pupil Outcomes (Priority 8): Pupil outcomes in the subject area of physical education.

## 2021-22 California Physical Fitness Test Results

This table displays the percentage of students participating in each of the five fitness components of the California Physical Fitness Test Results. Due to changes to the 2021-22 PFT administration, only participation results are required for these five fitness areas. Percentages are not calculated and double dashes (--) appear in the table when the number of students tested is ten or less, either because the number of students in this category is too small for statistical accuracy or to protect student privacy.

Grade Level	Component 1: Aerobic Capacity	Component 2: Abdominal Strength and Endurance	Component 3: Trunk Extensor and Strength and Flexibility	Component 4: Upper Body Strength and Endurance	Component 5: Flexibility
Grade 5	100	100	100	100	100

# C. Engagement

# State Priority: Parental Involvement

The SARC provides the following information relevant to the State priority: Parental Involvement (Priority 3): Efforts the school district makes to seek parent input in making decisions regarding the school district and at each school site.

## 2022-23 Opportunities for Parental Involvement

Sargeant Elementary is grateful for the parents who volunteer on campus. Parents play very important roles at Sargeant School through their active participation and involvement in the following: School Site Council, Parent Teacher Club (PTC), English Language Advisory Committee, volunteers in classrooms/field trips, and at various events including family nights and performing arts shows.

The PTC is vital to the programs and activities at the school. Through fundraising activities, the PTC supports program development at Sargeant School. The PTC coordinates volunteers and activities to support students and staff including: art docent, assemblies, technology, and other campus needs. The PTC also coordinates activities to bring the Sargeant community together including: movie nights, STEM night, harvest festival, fun run, skate nights, and family dine out nights. The PTC supports the school curriculum through the purchase of campus improvement projects, classroom supplies for teachers and books for their classroom libraries.

# 2021-22 Chronic Absenteeism by Student Group

Student Group	Cumulative Enrollment	Chronic Absenteeism Eligible Enrollment	Chronic Absenteeism Count	Chronic Absenteeism Rate
All Students	459	450	151	33.6
Female	196	190	66	34.7
Male	263	260	85	32.7
American Indian or Alaska Native	2	2	2	100.0
Asian	10	9	0	0.0
Black or African American	11	11	1	9.1
Filipino	0	0	0	0.0
Hispanic or Latino	156	153	57	37.3
Native Hawaiian or Pacific Islander	0	0	0	0.0
Two or More Races	29	28	8	28.6
White	248	244	82	33.6
English Learners	58	57	13	22.8
Foster Youth	5	5	1	20.0
Homeless	13	13	7	53.8
Socioeconomically Disadvantaged	177	173	75	43.4
Students Receiving Migrant Education Services	0	0	0	0.0
Students with Disabilities	57	55	17	30.9

# C. Engagement

# **State Priority: School Climate**

The SARC provides the following information relevant to the State priority: School Climate (Priority 6):

- Pupil suspension rates;
- Pupil expulsion rates; and
- Other local measures on the sense of safety

### **Suspensions and Expulsions**

This table displays suspensions and expulsions data collected between July through February, partial school year due to the COVID-19 pandemic. The 2019-20 suspensions and expulsions rate data are not comparable to other year data because the 2019-20 school year is a partial school year due to the COVID-19 crisis. As such, it would be inappropriate to make any comparisons in rates of suspensions and expulsions in the 2019-20 school year compared to other school years.

Subject	School 2019-20	District 2019-20	State 2019-20
Suspensions	0.61	1.15	2.45
Expulsions	0.00	0.03	0.05

This table displays suspensions and expulsions data collected between July through June, each full school year respectively. Data collected during the 2020-21 school year may not be comparable to earlier years of this collection due to differences in learning mode instruction in response to the COVID-19 pandemic.

Subject	School 2020-21	School 2021-22	District 2020-21	District 2021-22	State 2020-21	State 2021-22
Suspensions	0.67	1.09	0.50	1.45	0.20	3.17
Expulsions	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.02	0.00	0.07

# 2021-22 Suspensions and Expulsions by Student Group

Student Group	Suspensions Rate	Expulsions Rate
All Students	1.09	0.00
Female	0.51	0.00
Male	1.52	0.00
American Indian or Alaska Native	0.00	0.00
Asian	0.00	0.00
Black or African American	0.00	0.00
Filipino	0.00	0.00
Hispanic or Latino	1.92	0.00
Native Hawaiian or Pacific Islander	0.00	0.00
Two or More Races	0.00	0.00
White	0.81	0.00
English Learners	5.17	0.00
Foster Youth	0.00	0.00
Homeless	0.00	0.00
Socioeconomically Disadvantaged	0.56	0.00
Students Receiving Migrant Education Services	0.00	0.00
Students with Disabilities	3.51	0.00

### 2022-23 School Safety Plan

The Roseville City School District has developed a Crisis Response Plan that outlines the procedures to be followed in the event of an emergency affecting a school site. The plan includes procedures for coordinating resources to respond to any emergency including natural disasters, environmental health issues, accidents, or intruders. Sargeant staff has been assigned roles and trained to work efficiently with each other and with other public service officers to ensure the safety of students and staff.

Sargeant Elementary has a Comprehensive School Safety Plan which is reviewed annually with the site leadership team and site council. Site council reviewed the safety plan in December of 2022 and the site leadership team will review the plan in January of 2023. The plan is also reviewed throughout the year with the staff as drills occur in order to make sure procedures are carried out as effectively as possible. Evacuation, shelter, and lockdown drills are held to practice procedures for evacuation and safety. The staff and students are updated on safety practices.

# **D. Other SARC Information** Information Required in the SARC

The information in this section is required to be in the SARC but is not included in the state priorities for LCFF.

### 2019-20 Elementary Average Class Size and Class Size Distribution

This table displays the 2019-20 average class size and class size distribution. The columns titled "Number of Classes" indicates how many classes fall into each size category (a range of total students per class). The "Other" category is for multi-grade level classes.

Grade Level	Average Class Size	Number of Classes with 1-20 Students	Number of Classes with 21-32 Students	Number of Classes with 33+ Students
К	29		19	2
1	28		18	2
2	30		18	2
3	22	6	12	2
4	29	6	18	2
5	26	6	12	2

### 2020-21 Elementary Average Class Size and Class Size Distribution

This table displays the 2020-21 average class size and class size distribution. The columns titled "Number of Classes" indicates how many classes fall into each size category (a range of total students per class). The "Other" category is for multi-grade level classes.

Grade Level	Average Class Size	Number of Classes with 1-20 Students	Number of Classes with 21-32 Students	Number of Classes with 33+ Students
К	24	2	16	
1	24		24	
2	22		24	
3	23	1	24	
4	18	16		8
5	23	16	8	8
Other	18	1	1	

### 2021-22 Elementary Average Class Size and Class Size Distribution

This table displays the 2021-22 average class size and class size distribution. The columns titled "Number of Classes" indicates how many classes fall into each size category (a range of total students per class). The "Other" category is for multi-grade level classes.

Grade Level	Average Class Size	Number of Classes with 1-20 Students	Number of Classes with 21-32 Students	Number of Classes with 33+ Students
К	22	6	17	
1	20	5	16	
2	21	1	24	
3	18	6	24	
4	20	9	20	
5	19	9	16	
Other	12	4	2	

## 2021-22 Ratio of Pupils to Academic Counselor

This table displays the ratio of pupils to Academic Counselor. One full time equivalent (FTE) equals one staff member working full time; one FTE could also represent two staff members who each work 50 percent of full time.

Title	Ratio
Pupils to Academic Counselor	0

### 2021-22 Student Support Services Staff

This table displays the number of FTE support staff assigned to this school. One full time equivalent (FTE) equals one staff member working full time; one FTE could also represent two staff members who each work 50 percent of full time.

Title	Number of FTE Assigned to School
Counselor (Academic, Social/Behavioral or Career Development)	
Library Media Teacher (Librarian)	
Library Media Services Staff (Paraprofessional)	
Psychologist	
Social Worker	
Speech/Language/Hearing Specialist	1.0
Resource Specialist (non-teaching)	
Other	1.0

## 2020-21 Expenditures Per Pupil and School Site Teacher Salaries

This table displays the 2020-21 expenditures per pupil and average teacher salary for this school. Cells with N/A values do not require data.

Level	Total Expenditures Per Pupil	Expenditures Per Pupil (Restricted)	Expenditures Per Pupil (Unrestricted)	Average Teacher Salary	
School Site	\$10,893	\$3,861	\$7,032	\$83,962	
District	N/A	N/A	\$7,047	\$87,043	
Percent Difference - School Site and District	N/A	N/A	-0.2	-3.6	
State	N/A	N/A	\$6,594	\$87,271	
Percent Difference - School Site and State	N/A	N/A	6.4	-3.9	

## 2021-22 Types of Services Funded

Funding is used to promote the social, academic, emotional and safety of all children at Sargeant. Spending is focused on the three school goals that align with the Roseville City School District goals. The areas include reading and math achievements, as well as school culture. District funds are used to fund an intervention teacher, Teacher on Special Assignment (for staff development and student support) and Spanish teacher (for the IB Program). District funds are also used for our IB program including professional development and program fees.

## 2020-21 Teacher and Administrative Salaries

This table displays the 2020-21 Teacher and Administrative salaries. For detailed information on salaries, see the CDE Certification Salaries & Benefits web page at http://www.cde.ca.gov/ds/fd/cs/.

Category	District Amount	State Average for Districts in Same Category
Beginning Teacher Salary	\$45,217	\$52,641
Mid-Range Teacher Salary	\$88,246	\$83,981
Highest Teacher Salary	\$102,743	\$107,522
Average Principal Salary (Elementary)	\$126,918	\$136,247
Average Principal Salary (Middle)	\$138,354	\$142,248
Average Principal Salary (High)		\$139,199
Superintendent Salary	\$229,800	\$242,166
Percent of Budget for Teacher Salaries	43%	34%
Percent of Budget for Administrative Salaries	6%	5%

### **Professional Development**

In alignment with the Roseville City School District board goals, the Professional Development Advisory Committee (PDAC) plan was developed to create a comprehensive three-year professional development plan for the District. Training is provided to administrators and teachers that align with this plan during four professional development days. In addition, the school sites are provided three additional professional development days to focus on school site goals.

Other areas of professional development at Sargeant include:

Staff at Sargeant Elementary have participated in on-going professional development with the components of effective first instruction in math and support for English learners.

All teachers at Sargeant have on-going site training on the International Baccalaureate program.

This table displays the number of school days dedicated to staff development and continuous improvement.				
Subject	2020-21	2021-22	2022-23	
Number of school days dedicated to Staff Development and Continuous Improvement	8	7	7	